COUNCIL ASSESSMENT REPORT

| Panel Reference | PPSSEC-38 |
|----------------------------------|---|
| ranei keierence | |
| DA Number | DA-2020/14 |
| LGA | Bayside Council |
| Proposed Development | Demolition of existing structures and construction of a ten (10) storey commercial |
| | development comprising retail and office |
| Street Address | 46-50 Kent Road Mascot |
| Applicant/Owner | Sutherland and Associates Planning Pty/Ltd/ Cate Investments P/L and Hidon Pty Ltd and |
| | Direct Vision Pty Ltd |
| Date of DA lodgement | 17 January 2020 |
| Total number of Submissions | Two (2) submissions (same objector) |
| Number of Unique Objections | |
| Recommendation | Approval |
| Regional Development Criteria | Development with a CIV of \$90,250,274.00 |
| (Schedule 7 of the SEPP (State | |
| and Regional Development) 2011 | |
| List of all relevant s4.15(1)(a) | |
| matters | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979- Part 4- Development Assessment |
| | and Schedule 7 of the SEPP – State and Regional Development 2011 which regional |
| | panels may be authorised to exercise consent authority functions of councils |
| | • Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Part 6 – Procedures relating to Development Applications |
| | State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007 |
| | State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55- Remediation of Land |
| | State Environmental Planning Policy (Vegetation in non-rural areas) 2017 |
| | Botany Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 |
| | Botany Bay Development Control Plan 2013 |
| List all documents submitted | |
| with this report for the Panel's | Architectural Plans- Sissons Claves A Consisting System and Associates Plansing Physics |
| consideration | Clause 4.6 variation- Sutherland and Associates Planning Pty Ltd Landscape Plans- Aspect Studios |
| | Landscape Hans Aspect Stadios |
| Clause 4.6 requests | The Local Environmental Plan (LEP) the clause 4.6 application relates to is the Botany |
| | Bay LEP 2013 The development standard the clause 4.6 application relates to is Clause 4.4 of the |
| | BBLEP 2013. The maximum FSR allowed on the site is 3:1 |
| | The zone the clause 4.6 relates to is B7 Business Park |
| Summary of key submissions | |
| Jaminary or Key Jubinissions | Floor Space Ratio (FSR)- non-compliance |
| | Car parking non-compliance |
| Report prepared by | Angela Lazaridis- Senior Development Assessment Planner |
| | |
| Report date | 24 August 2020 |

Summary of s4.15 matters

Have all recommendations in relation to relevant s4.15 matters been summarised in the Executive Summary of the assessment report?

Yes

Legislative clauses requiring consent authority satisfaction

Have relevant clauses in all applicable environmental planning instruments where the consent authority must be satisfied about a particular matter been listed, and relevant recommendations summarized, in the Executive Summary of the assessment report?

Yes

e.g. Clause 7 of SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land, Clause 4.6(4) of the relevant LEP

Clause 4.6 Exceptions to development standards

If a written request for a contravention to a development standard (clause 4.6 of the LEP) has been received, has it been attached to the assessment report?

Special Infrastructure Contributions

Does the DA require Special Infrastructure Contributions conditions (S7.24)?

Note: Certain DAs in the Western Sydney Growth Areas Special Contributions Area may require specific Special Infrastructure Contributions (SIC) conditions

Not applicable

Conditions

Have draft conditions been provided to the applicant for comment?

Note: in order to reduce delays in determinations, the Panel prefer that draft conditions, notwithstanding Council's recommendation, be provided to the applicant to enable any comments to be considered as part of the assessment report

Yes